

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. H 4320-91 001059 IM52/1010 **EXAMINER** F BERESKIN AND PARR POPOVICS, R SCOTIA PLAZA 40 KING STREET WEST-SUITE 4000 BOX 401 TORONTO ON M5H 3Y2 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT CANADA 1723 AIR MAIL 10/10/01 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s) Rabie et al.
Office Action Summary	Examiner Examiner	Group Art Unit
•	Examiner Popov	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—		
Period for Reply	1	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO	EVALUE Three	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	AFINE	WONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	within the statutory minimupire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nm of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
Status / /		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	of (RC	<u>(E)</u> .
☐ This action is FINAL .		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. 		
Disposition of Claims	~ ~~	
Claim(s) -18 And 2	7-33	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1-18 AND 27-33		is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers		requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the Intern 	priority documents ha	ve been
*Certified copies not received:		
Attachm nt(s)		
★Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	s). //6 □In	terview Summary, PTO-413
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		otic of Informal Pat int Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		ther

Office Acti n Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. 17

Art Unit: 1723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-4,7-10 and 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1-4,7-10 and 27-33, it is *completely unclear* what Applicant intends by the language appearing in step "d" of claim 1, and similar language appearing throughout the balance of the pending claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Ļ

Application/Control Number: 09/425,234

Art Unit: 1723

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-18 and 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Del Veccchio et al. (6,210,582).

Del Vecchio provides a summary of the techniques used to regenerate membranes at columns 1-2. It is submitted that this summary anticipates Applicant's claims - as understood, or alternatively, that any differences which may exist, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of that which is conventionally known in the art. Alternatively, it is submitted that one having ordinary skill in the art would have known to combine the techniques disclosed in the summary in order to enhance membrane regeneration efficiency.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-18 and 27-33 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of copending

Application/Control Number: 09/425,234

Art Unit: 1723

Application No. 09/425,235 optionally in view of AAPA (Applicant's Admitted Prior Art) and/or

the Class 210, subclass 412 definition. Claim 12 of the '235 application essentially differs from

claim 1 of the '234 application by specifying the additional steps of draining and refilling. It is

well settled that elimination of limitations and their corresponding functions/effects are obvious,

and thus, minimally, claim 12 of '235 is obvious over claim 1 of '234. The balance of the claims

are obvious in view of AAPA and/or the Class 210, subclass 412 definition for the reasons

advanced below.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Robert Popovics whose telephone number is (703) 308-0684, and who can normally be reached at this number from 9:30 A.M. through 6:00 P.M. (EST) M-F.

rjp October 9, 2001 Robert James Popovics
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1723

Page 4

.